

1
2 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
3 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4 * * *

5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 CLARK COUNTY,

9 Defendant.

Case No. 2:14-cv-00493-RFB-NJK

**ORDER APPROVING
CONSENT DECREE**

10
11 This case is before the Court on Plaintiff United States of America and Defendant Clark
12 County's Joint Motion to Enter Consent Decree, filed on January 15, 2015. ECF No. 44.

13 The decision to approve or reject a settlement proposal is within the sound discretion of
14 the district court. S.E.C. v. Randolph, 736 F.2d 525, 529 (9th Cir. 1984). However, [u]nless a
15 consent decree is unfair, inadequate, or unreasonable, it ought to be approved. Also, the courts
16 should pay deference to the judgment of the government agency which has negotiated and
17 submitted the proposed judgment." Id. (citations omitted). The Court has reviewed the proposed
18 consent decree and finds that it is fair, adequate, and reasonable.

19 Accordingly,

20 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Joint Motion to Enter Consent Decree (ECF
21 No. 44) is GRANTED. As stated in the Consent Decree, the Court will retain jurisdiction over this
22 case for a period of twenty-four months from the date this Order is entered for the purpose of
23 enforcing the terms of the Consent Decree and resolving any disputes that might arise regarding
24 its terms or implementation.

25 **DATED** this 20th day of February, 2015.

26
27 

28 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE